HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Writ Petition (C) No. 4864 of 2007

PETITIONER		Harlal Chandrakar, aged about 66 years, S/o. Shri Sarha Chandrakar, R/o. Village Vinayakpur, P.S. Pulgaon, New Anda, Tahsil & District Durg (CG).
	Versus	
RESPONDENTS	1)	State of Chhattisgarh through Senior Superintendent of Police, District Durg (CG).
	2)	Officer-in-charge, Police Station Anda, District Durg (CG).
	3)	Khuman Singh Dilliwar, S/o. Shyam Lal Dilliwar, aged about 52 years, resident of village Vinayakpur, Police Station Anda, Tahsil and District Durg (CG).

(Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present: Shri Uttam Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State.

ORDER

(Passed on 21st August, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has lodged first information report in the Police Station Anda, District Durg. In spite of that, the Police Station has not taken any action on the compliant made by the petitioner. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner refers to letters dated 7-12-2006 (Annexures P/3 and P/4) addressed to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Durg through the Officer-in-charge, Police Station Anda, District Durg.

2) The petitioner has not produced any other document in support of his submission that he has filed a complaint in the Police Station and the Police Station failed to register the case. The documents dated 7-12-2006 (Annexures P/3 and P/4) indicate that the petitioner, instead of approaching the

Police Station for lodging a complaint against the respondent No.3 for some alleged irregularities, has preferred representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Durg. The averment of the petitioner in the petition that the Police Station has declined to register the case, has no basis. However, if the petitioner makes a report to the Police Station, the Police Station may register and investigate the matter in accordance with law.

3) In view of the foregoing, this petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Raju